

FILED
SCRANTON
JAN 05 2021
~~PER~~
~~DEPUTY CLERK~~

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
PO Box 1148
SCRANTON, PA. 18501
12-25-20

(chief) Col. Michael S. owl Feather-Gorbe

vs.

No. 3:21-CV-0016

- (1) A. King. Appointed Staff Rep. USP Lewisburg.
- (2) B. Chambers. DHO USP Lewisburg.
- (3) Spaulding. Warden USP Lewisburg
- (4) Bowlin. Administrative Remedy Coordinator USP Lewisburg.
- (5) Marr. UNIT Counselor. USP Lewisburg.
- (6) Hicks. UNIT Case manager. USP Lewisburg
- (7) Gauseph. Mail Room Supervisor. USP Lewisburg.
- (8) N.C. English. FBOP N.E. Regional Director. Phila. PA.
- (9) Ian Connors. FBOP Central Office Regional Director DC.
- (10) J. ordonez. SIS LT. USP Lewisburg.
- (11) The United States.

Plaintiff Jury Demand yes!

owl Feather-Gorbe's Consolidated Bivens - Federal Tort
claim lawsuit under 31915(g) imminent danger.

(chief) Col. Michael S. owl Feather-Gorbe
Monacan Nation
D.C. Doc 317611 Fed. 33405-013
USP Lewisburg
PO Box 1000
Lewisburg, PA. 17837

Where because of their colludings, negligences & or wronged acts related to this action, if not for their alleged judicial or quasi-judicial immunities which immunities Gorbej objects to & finds unconstitutional both U.S. District Court Judge Robert D. Mariani & U.S. Attorney Navin Jari would be personally named defendants in this suit. However, in such events the United States stands liable in their place see 28 USC § 2671 - 2680 the Federal Tort Claim Act.

Providing relief & money damages - compensations for negligent-wrongful acts of any federal employee.

Richards vs. United States 369 U.S. 1. 6. (1962)

claim of suit

claims (1) The defendants named, Chambers, King, Spaulding, Bowlin, Marr, Hicks, English, Gauseph, Connors & Anderson the United States have colluded with or in sequence to other participants, Judge Robert D. Mariani & or U.S. Attorney Assistant Navin Jari, through (patterns of misconducts) to assist & or cover up for F.C.I. Escott prison staff subjecting Gorbej to physical assaults while failing to keep Gorbej safe, as clear Retaliations for Gorbej's filing on prison & court staff misconducts & or then abusing use of the F.B.P. discipline process against Gorbej to attempt to cover it up. (while) Colluding & or participating in denying Gorbej Court Reliefs & meaningful access to further the Retaliations while failing to address the assault, obstructing Justice & keeping Gorbej in

constant threat of further assaults or death

Statement of Facts

Gorbej is well known for whistle blowing & filing valid complaints on prison & court staff misconducts. & had been doing so while at FCI Est. H. 2019-2020.

on about 3-16-20 several inmates attack Gorbej in his cell C-A-224 FCI Est. H while unit officer Mastro watch & fail to keep Gorbej safe. because Gorbej has misconduct complaints pending on Mastro & when the assault fail to seriously disable or kill Gorbej & he broke free from the cell officer Mastro then sounded an alarm & try to cover it up by abusing discipline process on Gorbej on a false intoxication charge.

Gorbej was place in FCI Est. H shu pending pto proceedings but on 4-17-20 got transfer to USP Lewisburg, PA.

Where USP Lewisburg staff place Gorbej in shu pending CMU reclassification & violated due process by not giving Gorbej any 24 hour notice of USP Lewisburg discipline proceedings or any opportunity to select a staff rep or witnesses.

Where Lewisburg Warden Spaulding without giving Gorbej any notice appointed Mr. King to be Gorbej's staff rep. on 4-29-20 & Mr. King accepted & acknowledge his duties.

Where on 4-29-20 about 5 minutes before a surprise DHO hearing Mr. King pop up at Gorbej's Lewisburg G-Block CMU cell explaining he was Gorbej's staff rep. A hearing was taking place immediately & Mr. King was not obligated to assist Gorbej in any manner

with witnesses or Evidence & was only obligated to stand beside Gorbej at the Otto hearing while Gorbej's cell: MR. COOK - 64065-056 witness this Exchange. & gave an affidavit regarding it.

Where. Gorbej was then taken immediately before Lewisburg Otto chambers whom stated he did not care if staff Rep. King was refusing to assist Gorbej. that the chambers was not allowing Gorbej any of his witnesses or Evidence but he was gonna continue the proceedings & himself Ex parte contact FCI Estill staff to see what went on & if they allege Gorbej was guilty. Gorbej would pay the devil for it.

Lewisburg Otto chambers then Ex parte contacted FCI Estill Lt. Shaffield & SIS Jones & had them to issue memorandums & then on 5-5-20 chambers conducted a 2nd hearing convicting & sanctioning Gorbej while ignoring Gorbej's written & verbal objections about staff Rep. Mr. King. the Ex parte communications & the denial of witnesses & Evidence. & the covering up of the assault keeping Gorbej in imminent danger.

Where. immediately upon arriving at USP Lewisburg. Gorbej sent notice to Lewisburg SIS about the FCI Estill Events & the continued threat of further assault to no avail.

Gorbej then filed FBOP Administrative remedy complaints on Lewisburg SIS for failing or refusing to investigate the assault & dangers. & eventually was interviewed by Lewisburg SIS Manning & Ford whom took no action & Gorbej continued his complaints to no avail.

On 6-3-20 Otto chambers issue his Otto Report which

Was deliver to Garbey v.a. institutional mail 6-5-20 & Garbey immediately Filed A Bp10 N.E. Region Appeal as chambers clearly violated Garbey's Rights & Even lied claiming Garbey made no objections to staff Rep. King Refusing to assist him.

Where then the N.E. Region Fail or refuse to timely process Garbey's Bp10 Appeal Within the 30 days Allow per Policy so Garbey on 7-5-20 Exhauster his Remedies by Filing A Bp11 Central office Appeal.

Where then in about August 2020 Both Garbey's Bp10 & Bp11 Appeals were Error Rejected. & Forcing Garbey to Continue suffering sanctions and threats of further assaults without appeal.

Where also in about August 2020 Lewisburg SIS St. ordonez & Mr. Ford. again Interview Garbey regarding the FCI Estill Events due to Garbey's diligent filing & 2 inmates were subsequently identified as participants in the FCI Estill 3-16-20 assault and we must note that the memorandum issue to Otto Chambers by FCI Estill SIS Jones in May 2020 also acknowledge other inmates being involved in the events yet. Both SIS & Otto Chambers Fail or refuse to properly investigate & deliberately Error process Garbey on the fabricated discipline charges (to) cover up the assault & staff misconducts. (While) Lewisburg SIS ordonez identifying 2 inmates involved took no actions against those inmates or to rectify the events. (While) leaving Garbey at threat & making matters even more threatening by releasing information regarding Garbey's complaining through the 2 identified inmates to USP Lewisburg general population. (While) Garbey is now

designated to another prison with at least 200 or more of those now hostile inmates, threatening further assault or death.

Where, Gorby sought relief in the courts, 3:20-cr-806-RDM for the damages & dangers he suffer & faces yet. the Court Judge Mariani Ex parte colluding with Government Attorney Navin Jani & FBP staff in proceedings. Abuse use of § 1915(g) as an affirmative prohibitory Financial barrier & shut Gorby out of court while also Judge Mariani & Attorney Jani further colluded to impede Gorby Proper-Timely Habeas Reliefs forcing Gorby to suffer the unjust FBP discipline actions & sanctions while keeping Gorby in imminent dangers. (while) Lewisburg staff further colluding impede Gorby Equal Protection access to the CARES Act \$1,200.00 Stimulus checks to deny him Court Filing fees & Commissaries while subjecting him to the Events.

Grounds for Relief

Issue (ii) DHO chambers

chambers knowingly violated Gorby's due process Rights. To further abuse discipline process against Gorby while knowingly covering up a physical assault & keeping Gorby at threat of further assaults or death.

- (a) chambers proceeded with DHO proceedings at Lewisburg while knowing Gorby was never SOC at Lewisburg & not given any 24 hour notice of the Lewisburg proceedings. Any chance to select a staff rep.
- (b) chambers knowingly allow appointed staff rep to not assist Gorby with witnesses & evidence, while he

discarded Gorbeys written objections to Mr. Kings ineffectiveness & even lied in his OTC Report that Gorbeys made no such objections.

- (c) Chambers violated due process denying Gorbeys valid witnesses & Evidence. For this defense. DR. Lipani witness could have testify to Gorbeys medical conditions & drug facts. & or those drug facts as Evidence. LT. Barrett. unit manager Smith & counselor Bryant witness could testify to Gorbeys condition on 3-6-20.
- (d) Chambers violated impartiality by Ex parte contacting FCI Estill staff outside the OTC Hearing regarding the OTC charges & then conducted a 3rd hearing on 5-5-20 to convict & sanction Gorbeys.
- (e) On 5-4-20 Chambers received a memorandum from FCI Estill SIS Jones as well as in his Ex parte communications with Jones & shaffied which inform chambers that other inmates were involved evidencing the assault Gorbeys complain about yet. Chambers discarded that information & deliberately attempted to assist in covering up the assault & staff misconduct by knowingly unjustly processing Gorbeys on the disciplinary charges issuing heavy sanctions (to) attack Gorbeys for bringing the issues to the light. while knowingly keeping Gorbeys at threat of further assaults or death.

Issue (2). A. King appointed staff rep.

on about 4-29-2020 Mr. King sign a Contract Agreement to Effectively assist Gorbeys at Lewisburg OTC proceedings. Form Bp-AO 306 which is Government Ex. 1. Attachment E. p. 1. in habeas case 3:20-cr-1360/13644.

(acknowledging) his duties as staff rep. yet, then deliberately refuse to uphold them refuse to assist Gorbej with any witnesses or evidence & assisted in processing Gorbej on UNJUST discipline actions & sanctions (to) cover up the assault & staff misconducts (while) keeping Gorbej at threat of further assaults & death.

Where (the OHO Report) issued 6-3-20 in several pages list that Gorbej verbally & in writing contested the charges & sought witnesses & evidence for his defense, & argue that he was assaulted while staff fail to keep him safe & abuse use of this discipline action to cover it up, including noting these facts in section II of the OHO Report as Mr. King's statement is OHO. yet, claims in section II that Gorbej made no specific requests of him as staff Rep. which is in conflict with Gorbej's statements, witness inmate Cook's affidavit & notations made by OHO chambers throughout the OHO Report, & clearly evidencing that staff Rep. Mr. King (deliberately) ineffectively assisted Gorbej while knowingly assisting in abusing discipline actions & sanctions against Gorbej (to) cover up assault & staff misconducts (while) knowingly keeping Gorbej at threat of further assaults or death.

issue (3) MR. spaulding . Warden.

spaulding is participating on several levels.

- (a) spaulding being the warden was obligated to see that Gorbej & any other inmate at his facility undergoing discipline proceedings is due 24 hour pre notice & the opportunity to select a staff Rep & witnesses P.S. 5220.09 § 541.5 (a) this is done by serving the inmate a copy of the

charge & conducting a (wdc) unit discipline hearing & having the inmate sign a Bp-A0293 inmate rights form & Bp-A0294 notice of oho hearing form. For (any & all) charges being sent to the oho.

this is a facility by facility, warden by warden duty & or obligation 3541.2 application section 7

yet, warden spaulding knowling violated & allow his staff to violate Gorbeys due process rights at usp lewisburg by not affording Gorbeys Rights he is due regarding the usp lewisburg discipline process.

- (b) Warden Spaulding is also allowing unit teams & the remedy coordinator bowlin to violate policy & to impede Gorbeys remedy appeals by deliberate delays in delivering responses & or rejections, & refusals to provide letterheads & design to force Gorbeys to be deprived of meaningful appeals. & exhaustion of remedies. To force Gorbeys to suffer discipline actions & sanctions.

- (c) Warden Spaulding is also as admitted by Spaulding on about 12-3-2020 working with the mail room supervisor Mr. Gauseph to impede Gorbeys receipt of funds including but not limited to cares act stimulus checks 2020. (to) knowingly deprive Gorbeys of needed court filing fees & or commissaries. (to) impede Gorbeys court access.

All (while) violating 18 USC §4042(a)(3) & D.S. 5270.09. 3541.1 purpose, which, explains that the F.O.P discipline process will not be used in arbitrary, capricious & or any retaliatory manner

issue (4) MR. Bowlin, lewisburg remedy coordinator

Mr. Bowlin works in the wardens office as remedy coordinator & is receiving local region & central office appeal responses

or Rejections & NOT having them properly deliver & noted date of delivery to Gorbej to preserve Gorbej's Rights for Appeal but rather, Bowlin IS holding these Responses & Rejections until the time for appeal or re-application is expiring or overly expired & then Bowlin dumps them in the prison institutional general population mail (to prevent) Gorbej from having ANY way to prove the actual date of delivery (to impede) receiving any letterhead or any further appeals & exhaustion violating PS.1330.16 inmate remedy process.

This is done by Bowlin (with deliberate intent to) impede Appeals & exhaustion of remedies (to) force Gorbej to suffer the issues complain about, including but not limited to "Dangers or Harms" & or "unjust discipline actions & sanctions", which (then) becomes a Constitutional Conscience. When Government Attorneys such as Navin Jani, as in Case 3:20-cv-1360/1364-RDM then argues that Gorbej should be denied (Court access) for alleged failure to exhaust remedies & clearly shows these parties acts of collusion & intent to deprive Gorbej of Reliefs while keeping him at threat of further assaults or death.

Issue (5) MR. MARR LEWISBURG UNIT COUNSELOR.

MR. MARR JUST SIMPLY (REFUSES) TO PROVIDE A LETTERHEAD UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCE. ARGUING HE DON'T DO LETTERHEADS & IT'S NOT HIS PROBLEM REMEDY RESPONSES & REJECTIONS ARE BEING ERROR DUMP IN THE EVENING MAIL, & EVEN OFTEN (REFUSES) TO PROCESS BPP INFORMAL COMPLAINTS ON THESE ERRORS & MISCONDUCTS. WHILE, THOUGH POLICY SAYS THE BPP INFORMAL PROCESS IS NOT TO OPERATE TO IMPEDIE FORMAL FILING & INMATES ONLY NEED TO (ATTEMPT) THE BPP PROCESS (IF) AVAILABLE & THE INMATE ONLY NEED TO

show proof he attempted that Bp8 informal process (if) available such as declaration of filing or a copy of the Bp8 see. P.S. 1330.16 the remedy process. Counselor marr & Mr. Bowlin collude together by not processing or answering many Bp8 informal complaints & then Mr. Bowlin will not accept (anything) even a copy of the Bp8. As proof one was ever filed or attempted & demands unconstitutional that (only) the Bp8 & a response by staff can suffice to show the attempt & therefore clearly using the informal Bp8 process to impede formal filing which Bowlin & marr have done at least some 18 times to Gorbea since 4-17-2020. Forcing Gorbea to suffer dangers, damages & unjust discipline actions & sanctions.

issue (6) Mr. Hicks. unit case manager lewistburg.

where like marr. Mr. Hicks won't provide letterheads, knowing remedies are being dump in institutional mail (to) impede appeals & exhaustion Hicks simply argues that if he did not deliver it to Gorbea. He's not providing any letterhead. (to) impede exhaustion & court access violating policy P.S. 1330.16 (while) knowingly forcing Gorbea to suffer dangers, damages & unjust discipline actions. see. Example. Bp10 Response 1023909-R2. dump in institutional mail (4 days after) the time for appeal had expired. where, officer Goodrum was professional enough to initial & date the cover page to allow Gorbea verification of the date of delivery (to) justify unit team issuing a letterhead 12-14-2020. yet, both Hicks & marr (refuse) to provide any such letterhead. impeding exhaustion & subsequently possibly impeding court access.

issue (2) Mr. Gauseph, mail room supervisor, Lewisburg.

- (a) Gauseph is having his staff to reject & return to sender all of Gorbeys Funds. (to) deprive Gorbeys of Court Filing Fees & Commissaries, since 4-17-20.
- (b) Gauseph has his staff to (repeatedly) treat court mails as general correspondence mail & then delay delivery until the times for response is expiring or already expired, since 4-17-20 to date & continuing.
- (c) Gauseph has his staff to (repeatedly) Error copy Gorbeys mail, only providing some or 1/2 of the pages sent. All violating PS 5265.14 inmate correspondence policy (to) impede Gorbeys court access & (to) force Gorbeys to suffer dangers, damages & staff misconducts since 4-17-20 to date & continuing.

issue (8) N.C. English, FaBop N.E. Regional Director.

since Gorbeys stay at USP Lewisburg 4-17-20 to date & continuing. English has (repeatedly) impeded Gorbeys any proper, timely or meaningful access to the local N.E. Region Remedy Complaint & Appeal process (repeatedly) forcing Gorbeys to suffer dangers & damages.

- (a) English is not timely processing BPIO appeals or BPI sensitive complaints, within the 30 days allow per policy. (repeatedly) letting the pleadings sit. 3-6 (months) before even logging them in or processing them.
- (b) English & his staff are then Error rejecting 90% of the BPIO's filed, claiming damages caused beyond the inmates control are contributed to the inmate. see Example #1038321-R1 an initial Otto appeal filed in this case to incident report 3379061. BPIO filed 6-5-20, yet not even key in the system until August 6. 2020. some 3

months later & Error Rejected claiming Gorbej could not remove the Part c Receipt that is design (to be removed) as Gorbej's Evidence of Filing. & that Gorbej was to be held accountable for (Carbon Copy damages) caused after Gorbej submitted the Appeal. which is a frustration process design to get inmates to give up on appeals & to (prevent) exhaustion, as under normal circumstances it is an issue (uncurable by the inmate) & will only recur every time the inmate submits the appeal. & English clearly knows this & that such acts force Gorbej to suffer the dangers, damages & misconducts complain about.

- (c) English is simply deliberately denying Gorbej Reliefs he is Entitled To. see. Bp10#1023909-R2 on violations of Gorbej's native Religious Rights & Access. As English on 11-10-20 claim it is Constitutionally proper for Lewisburg Warden & Chaplain to abuse use of their Religious Policy (Institutional supplement 5360.09(H)) to deny natives any Religious Access in 6 month period that any discipline seg. Time is issued. thus using Religious access as a Arbitrarily, Capricious, Retaliatory sanction. & only provide natives in shu. Religious Access 2 Times A year once Every 6 months otherwise. clearly violating native 1st & 4th Amendment Rights (while all other faith groups) get weekly services. Even those on disciplinary seg. and. see also Otto appeal. 1038321-R2. where. English knows that Gorbej's Due Process was multiply violated (intend) the charge was being used Arbitrarily, Capriciously & Retaliatory (To) Cover up Physical Assault & Staff misconducts, English forcing Gorbej to suffer the unjust sanctions has also knowingly kept Gorbej in threat of further assaults or death. see. Bp10 Appeal 1038321-R1 & R2 listing. Evidence of

- (1) F.Bop Code 199 being AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL catch all Code.
- (2) OHO chambers violating impartiality.
- (3) Gorbej being denied 24 hour notice of Lewisburg OHO proceedings.
- (4) Gorbej being denied (opportunity to) select A Lewisburg Staff Rep.
- (5) Appointed staff Rep. King Failing, Refusing to uphold his duties & Effectively Assist Gorbej.
- (6) denial of witnesses & Evidence for defense.
- (7) memorandums given by Estell SIS Jones. (Evidencing) other inmates involved. Assault & Staff Failure or refusal to investigate & abuse of discipline process.
Yet, with this Host of Due Process & Equal Protection violations English has deliberately fail or refuse to provide Gorbej Reliefs. (to) ASSIST these defendants in these misconducts.

Issue (9) Ian Connors . Central office regional director.

Connors has been Retaliating & deliberately allowing misconducts & denying Gorbej Reliefs for years. & more concerning is (in the Events of) such abuses of the inmate discipline process (to) cover up assaults & staff misconducts. see. Bop Appeal 1008095-A3 for incident report #3287668 for alleged (2011) fighting.

F.Bop cameras show. 2 inmates with weapons attempting to seriously assault or kill Gorbej (while) unit staff Watch & Fail to keep Gorbej safe. & yet the F.Bop does not allow self defense under any circumstances (itself) being an imminent danger claiming Gorbej is obligated to suffer the serious assault or death. (or) suffer discipline action. so Connors deny Gorbej appeal relief.

12-2-2020.

& yet, because of this, not 8 months after this assault & abuse of discipline actions took place at F.C.I. Cumberland,

nd. on 7-31-19. Gorbej again suffer similar events at F.A.I. Estill S.C. on 3-16-20. which these defendant's & other parties are colluding to cover up as well & clearly showing a (pattern of misconducts) evidencing the likelihood of serious physical injury or death. see.

Martin vs. Shelton 319 F.3d. 1048, 1050 (8th Cir. 2003)

where, clearly, Connors is at it again. because when the local N.E. Region fail to timely process Gorbej's initial Bp 10 # 1038321-R1 filed 6-5-20 & Gorbej in accordance with Policy Filed His initial Bp 11 # 1038321-R1 on 7-5-20 then Exhausting his remedies. 28 CFR § 542.18. Connor deliberately refuse to address Gorbej's issues rejecting his Bp 11 (knowing) Gorbej was serving sanctions without availability of any appeal (ex) charges used to cover up ASSAULT & Staff misconducts. & still today forcing Gorbej to suffer it

Tsosie vs. Garrett 409 Appx 262 (2010)

obligations to keep Gorbej safe.

Farmer vs. Brennan 511 US 825. (1994)

issue (10) J. ordenez . usp lew isburg SIS.

UPON ARRIVING AT lew isburg 4-17-20 Gorbej promptly notified SIS that he had been physically assaulted AT EST.D & NO investigation was conducted & since all EST.D inmates were brought to lew isburg with Gorbej he was exposed to imminent threat of further physical assaults or death. yet. ordenez & his SIS staff refuse to take any action leaving Gorbej at threat & to suffer abused discipline process.

Williams vs. Bledso 2013 US Dist. lexis 143804, 2013 WL 5802848 at *18 (Md. Pa. 11-3-2013) obligation to keep Gorbej safe!

where. Gorbej even went as far as filing remedy Bp 8.

Bpp. 10 & 11 Complaints on SIS & providing direction to assaultants involved, & yet still SIS., Warden Spaulding, Unit Team, Baldwin, Capt. Henkle, English & occaver fail or refuse to investigate, colluding to assist FCI Estill staff in covering up the assault & staff misconducts.

Where, on 5-4-20 Estill SIS Jones gave a memorandum listing other inmates being involved, but (he) fail to do any investigation as did Lewisburg SIS & other staff.

Where, then Garbe, diligently complaining, underwent 2 separate consultations with Lewisburg SIS in regards to these issues between June & August 2020 & yet they still refuse to investigate & address the issues leaving Garbe in threat of further attacks.

Where, then in late August, 2020, J. Ondez, whom knew about these participants all along, claim he then identified 2 participants in the 3-16-20 Events, People shown on camera seen by SIS Jones at Estill & people pointed to by Garbe in remedy Complaints & earlier lawsuits 3:20-cv-806-RDM, 3:20-cv-867-RDM, & Habeas proceedings, 3:20-cv-1360/1364-RDM. Note it is unclear which # 1360 or 1364 is the proper case # due to court errors & reportings. As (the court) has cited both case #'s in pleadings regarding that case.

yet, while Ondez (now) identifies 2 participants, he has done (nothing) to rectify the failure to address an assault & abuse of discipline process on Garbe & only succeeded in accelerating the threats by notifying the 2 inmates they are identified & allowing them to notify Lewisburg general population causing even more animosities towards Garbe while Garbe is now designated to another facility in West Virginia where.

at least 200 or more of those hateful inmates have been also designated. Containing the threats to Gorby's safety. Especially since some of the people from those specific 2 peoples groups & gangs are designated there.

Farmer vs. Brennan. 511 U.S. 825 (1995)

officials "must" take reasonable measures to keep Gorby safe

issue. (ii) the united states.

where. while the united states is liable for the actions of these. f.b.p staff. the united states is equally liable for negligent-wrongful acts of Judge R.O. Mariani & U.S. Attorneys Freed & Nani. 28 USC § 2671-2680.

The (F.T.C.A) holds the united states liable for negligent-wrongful acts of any federal employee. acting within their official capacity. Richards vs. United States 369 U.S. 1, 6 (1962). while judges & Gov. Attorneys. qualify as any federal employee & any such personal immunities do not extend to quash the (F.T.C.A). Williams. 775 F.3d at 1189.

The PLRA is intended to impose costs of litigations on prisoners. its purposes do not extend as far as immunizing Errors by Courts or Gov. Attorneys. see.

Thomas vs. Holder 250 F.3d. 894, 904-09 (D.C.Cir. 2014)

(a) Judge Robert O. Mariani.

Judge Mariani retaliating for Gorby's judicial & other complaints has been Ex parte colluding with Government Attorneys & F.B.P staff. motions motions for sanctions on defendants for further misconducts & motioning recusal motions while abusing use of 31915(g) as an affirmative prohibitive financial bar while at the same time colluding to impede Gorby habeas reliefs.

Knowingly subjecting Garbey to the dangers & damages & becoming the cause of Garbey's conditions of confinement. See. 3:20-cv-806-RDM; 3:20-cv-867-RDM; 3:20-cv-1050-RDM; 3:20-cv-1150-RDM; 3:20-cv-1227-RDM; 3:20-cv-1360/1364-RDM; 3:20-cv-1457-RDM; 3:20-cv-1713-RDM. While cases 806, 867, & 1360/1364 directly relate to the issues here. Where the Hostile Judge has violated Garbey's Rights & prevailing laws forcing Garbey to suffer dangers & damages. See. 28 USC § 351(g) Judicial Conduct Prejudicial to the Effective & Expedited Administrations of the business of the Courts.

(b) Government Attorneys Freed & Navin Jani.

Where. While Government Attorneys are Entrusted & obligated to proceed in the public interest of Justice. These Hostile Attorneys are doing the opposite & act to obstruct Justice.

(1) in Case # 3:20-cv-1150-RDM. Garbey vs. Warden.

Cameras show 2 inmates attack Garbey with weapons while staff watch & fail to keep Garbey safe & then abuse discipline process on Garbey for defending himself & yet. Gov. Attorneys Freed & Jani.

Argue to the court that this foolishness is within the public interest of Justice & Garbey should be deny relief & suffer additional time in prison after suffering loss of privileges & transfer because of it. A clear mockery & farce of Justice, which is part of the imminent dangers Garbey faces.

(2) Case(s) 3:20-cv-806-RDM & 3:20-cv-1360/1364-RDM

Attorneys Freed & Jani argue that Garbey should be denied compensations for staff subjecting him to physical assaults & abusing use of discipline process to

Cover it up. & that Gorby should be deny Habeas Reliefs & should suffer loss of Privileges & Extended stay in prison as a result.

Where, the Government Attorneys clearly list in their responses. the Due Process violations such as denial of 24 hour notice of hearing. or that policy allows a prisoner to proceed with appeals when a timely response is not provided below. yet. then obstructs justice by claiming (Gorby) should not obtain these Reliefs or Equal Protections of law or policy. & clearly simply colluding to assist F.B.I. staff in further abusing discipline actions to cover up assaults & staff misconduct (while) keeping Gorby in imminent dangers of further assaults or death. For which the United States stands liable. 28 USC 32671-2680.

Richards vs. United States 369 U.S. 1. 6 (1962)

Relief sought.

- (1) I demand \$250,000.00 million dollars
- (2) I seek injunction to release me from F.B.I. custody on supervised release pending termination of my DC state sentence and or termination of that sentence as the F.B.I. has been unable to keep me safe. While the F.B.I. & Courts collude to cover up assaults & to abuse use of discipline process against me. & as long as I remain in any F.B.I. custody I remain in imminent dangers.
- (3) I seek injunction to allow me self defense in the events of any assault when staff fail to keep me safe.

- (4) I seek Reform injunction of §1915(g) to prevent Courts from abusing it as a prohibitive financial bar.
- (5) I seek injunction to Reform the Judicial & Quasi-Judicial Complaint process.
- (6) I seek a Hearing necessary to defend.

(chief) Col. Michael S. Owl Feather - Garbey
Monacan Nation

D.C. Doc 317611 Fed. 33405-03

declaration of mailing §1746 & §1621

I Garbey declare that on 12-25-20 I deposit this suit & all attachments in USP Lewisburg internal legal mail system by 1st class U.S. indigent inmate mail.

(chief) Col. Michael S. Owl Feather - Garbey
Monacan Nation

D.C. Doc 317611 Fed. 33405-03

USP Lewisburg

Po Box 1000

Lewisburg, PA.

17837.

Inmate Name: Michael S. old feather Corkey
Register Number: 334055-013

United States Penitentiary

P.O. Box 1000

Lewisburg, PA 17837

81 DEC 2020



(legal - special mail)

RECEIVED
SCRANTON

JAN 05 2021

S. DEPUTY CLERK

Open only in presence of PER S. DEPUTY CLERK
(Corkey or the U.S. Court)

clerk of court
united states district court

P.O. Box 1148

SCRANTON, PA.
18501

POSTAGE PAID